Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/458,779	LATTERICH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David A. Lambertson	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>David A. Lambertson</u> .	(3)	
(2) Astrid Spain.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 November 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, first paragraph Written Description.		
Claims discussed: All claims.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	SENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	 The examiner will provide a writtenance of the substance of the 	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding the Writen Description rejection set forth in the previous rejections. While in no way acquiescing to the accuracy of the Written Description rejection, Applicant's representative agreed to the cancellation of the language "or conservative variations thereof" from claims 3, 14, 25, 31, 33, 36 and 37 for the sole purpose of furthering prosecution of the claims to allowance. Applicant's representative furthermore reserved the right to pursue the amended subject matter in one or more divisional or continuing applications.